

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,103	09/12/2003	Lev Pekarsky	81044239	9104
28866	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			HONG, JOHN C	
ONE MARITI 720 WATER S	ME PLAZA - FOURTH FI STREET	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO, OF			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\overline{c}			
	10/662,103	PEKARSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John C. Hong	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum stature. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we have reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comn BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 July 2005					
· _ ·	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 7/8/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoman, Jr. (U.S. Patent 4,840,379) in view of Moriarty et al. (U.S. Patent 5,779,244).

Thoman, Jr. teaches a method for assembling components, the method comprising the steps of: forming an outer component having an inner surface, the component formed with a groove for holding a seal, the groove having an opening at the inner surface; fabricating a seal in the form of a substantially circular ring having a width, a thickness, a scarf cut extending through the width and the thickness, and a second inner surface having an inside diameter; and inserting the seal in the groove (Figs 2A,7,8; col. 1,line 26-col.2, line 25).

Thoman, Jr. fails to teach the step of placing the second inner surface over the outer surface of a cylindrical mandrel having a diameter that is larger than the inside diameter; and expanding the seal on the mandrel such that a gap is formed at the scarf cut.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,103

Art Unit: 3726

Moriarty et al. teach the step of placing the second inner surface over the outer surface of a cylindrical mandrel having a diameter that is larger than the inside diameter; and expanding the seal on the mandrel such that a gap is formed at the scarf cut (col. 28, lines 58-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the steps of the step of placing the second inner surface over the outer surface of a cylindrical mandrel having a diameter that is larger than the inside diameter; and expanding the seal on the mandrel such that a gap is formed at the scarf cut, as taught by Moriarty et al. on the method of Thoman, Jr. so as to assemble a tight seal components.

Regarding Claims 4 and 9, the determined length of the gap and the temperature are considered to have been obvious matter of choice, since it has been held discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 571-272-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,103

Art Unit: 3726

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jh July 25, 2005